

INTERPLEADER.—HORNSBY v. HORNSBY.

This was an interpleader issue argued before his Lordship to decide who should possess certain goods seized at the Bridge Inn, Kirkstall, of which Elizabeth Hornsby is tenant.—Mr. E. TINDAL ATKINSON appeared for the claimant (Elizabeth Hornsby); Mr. WADDY, Q.C., and Mr. EDGE represented Henry Hornsby, the execution creditor, who, on July 18th, 1883, obtained a decree *nisi* against his wife, Elizabeth Hornsby, with £200 damages and costs against the co-respondent, George Craythorne. Craythorne, who is now in America, is alleged to have lived with Elizabeth Hornsby after the decree absolute had been pronounced. It was moreover alleged that Craythorne, in order to avoid the payment of costs and damages, made over his money to Elizabeth Hornsby, who was now occupying the Bridge Inn at Kirkstall.—*Elizabeth Hornsby* denied that she had any means of her own to meet the costs of the divorce proceedings. Craythorne had lent her money to purchase a business at the Black Bull Inn, Mirfield. This money was afterwards refunded, and Craythorne promised to give the claimant more with which to establish herself at the Bridge Inn.

The case was not concluded when the Court rose.